Tanigawa Akio

At the start of the twenty-first century our nation faced a period of great change, in the midst of economic globalism, and with both social disparity and the transition to an aging society with a low birthrate becoming more evident. Trends in archaeological research are not unrelated to such social conditions. The drastic decline in population of researchers supporting regional studies, severe personnel shortages at regional public organizations, and problems of training successors and the educational environment for archaeology at universities, all appear to have such social conditions as the background.

Herein I would like to look back over the overall trends in Japanese archaeological research for the 2016 fiscal year. What follows will outline in order the research trends for each period.

For Paleolithic period research regarding human origins and dispersals, in recent years collaborative research with various Quaternary sciences going beyond the frameworks of individual national histories, from perspectives such as geographic diversity, variation, and adaption, has become active. For the 8th Meeting of the Asian Paleolithic Association, hosted in Japan for the second time, a symposium was held on "Variability, similarities, and the definition of the Initial Upper Paleolithic across Eurasia."

With these developments, along with research on human dispersals and the formation of tool kits of the Lower, Middle, and Upper Paleolithic periods in Japan and surrounding regions, work was seen related to the span from the end of the Upper Paleolithic to the Incipient Jōmon periods. For the latter, there was much research focused on regional examples related to human adaptations to the climatic changes of the period. In this manner, for Paleolithic research, collaborative work
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on the natural environment and human adaptation linking archaeological and natural scientific methodologies is progressing.

As research in traceology (use-wear analysis) of stone tools, Midōshima Tadashi has experimentally examined acid-induced surface change on obsidian stone tools, to study its effects on use-wear traces. The results are worth taking into consideration for the Japanese archipelago, in which acidic soils predominate. Also, in research on the sources of materials for stone tools, focusing on obsidian, petrological studies and geochemical analyses of source areas in various regions, and distribution surveys of sites in the regions bordering those source areas were carried out. Stone tool material research requires cooperative work of archaeology and the geological sciences, and in recent years is close to being established as one interdisciplinary theme linking archaeological and natural scientific methodologies.

In trends of Jōmon period research, studies were seen with the tendency of recent years to focus on the relationship between society and the environment. Reconstructions of livelihood through analyses of animal remains and so forth were conducted, and links with carbon and nitrogen isotope analyses of human bone, etc., draw attention. Also, for faunal remains there were many reports on replica studies of seed impressions. Numerous data on seed impressions have been accumulated, making it possible to debate issues across a wide range of time for all of Japan. Lipid analysis, the analysis of starch grains, and so forth, of residual organic materials adhering to pottery are also being conducted.

In considering the utilization of nuts in the Japanese prehistoric era, Hosoya Aoi has called for vigorous examinations of ethnographic examples among North American native peoples, and analyzed ethnographic accounts recorded at the start of the twentieth century for Northern Californian indigenous groups. In the future this type of ethnoarchaeological perspective will likely prove effective. In research on ancient human skeletal remains, based on the morphology and physical and chemical analyses of human skeletons of the Final Jōmon, Saeki Fumiko and others have made a reconstruction of the daily living environment.

As research on stone tools, Hashimoto Katsuo discussed the appearance and lines of derivation of stone arrowheads in the Kantō and Chūbu regions, and the importance can be seen of...
basic research utilizing data recovered from extensive regions.

In research related to Jōmon society, much discussion was seen of social stratification based on analyses of settlement structure and burial customs. Also, the monograph edited by Kobayashi Ken’ichi and colleagues, *Jōmon shakai o shūraku kara yomitoku* (Deciphering Jōmon society from settlements), was published, bringing together the results of Jōmon settlement research. It includes interpretations of *dogū* with regard to ritual and ceremonial practices, and while there will be opposing views on such matters, it is claimed that debate should be engaged concerning the methodology of archaeology itself, as well as approaches followed when invoking the results of fields such as cultural anthropology or iconography.

In research on the Yayoi period, from the perspective of the AMS long chronology Fujio Shin’ichirō defined Yayoi culture in the previous year as one “with paddy rice cultivation placed within the whole of daily life” and took its sphere as extending west from Niigata–Chiba prefectures; in response to this, movements to reexamine previous frameworks are accelerating. From the viewpoint that the fundamental characteristics of Yayoi culture for each region should be given equal valuation in historical studies, Ishikawa Hideshi calls for interpreting the cultural content of each region with both diachronic historic and pan-East-Asian perspectives as essential conditions. In conjunction with such debate, discussion regarding the beginnings of Yayoi culture is showing brisk activity.

Results of natural scientific analyses, such as replica studies of seed impressions, reconstructions of livelihood based on carbon and nitrogen isotope analyses of human bone, and reconstructions of the genetic diversity of rice through DNA analysis, will likely promote tremendous developments in future research. Also, with progress in investigations and research in China and on the Korean peninsula, it can be said that conditions have become possible for evaluating the position of Yayoi culture within the East Asian context.

In excavations, at the Bunkyō site in Ehime prefecture the oldest field remains in the country, from the end of the Final Jōmon to the start of the Early Yayoi periods, have been found. Also, at the Sugu Okamoto site in Fukuoka prefecture, a bronze dagger and bronze pommel decoration were among the items recovered.
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from a jar burial of the first half of the Middle Yayoi period, made in the largest class of burial pit in the nation.

In trends of Kofun period research, the research direction was seen of assessing the position of Japan’s Kofun period within the history of East Asia including China and the Korean peninsula. Ichinose Kazuo’s Mozu, Furuichi kofungun (Mozu, Furuichi tomb groups)\textsuperscript{14} evaluates the place of these colossal tomb groups, which Japan aims to have inscribed on the World Heritage List, within the larger East Asian world. With regard to foreign connections in the Kofun period the Japanese–Korean relationship is central, for which bilateral comparisons and considerations of historical background are increasingly regarded as important.

The monograph Kinai no shuchōfun (Chiefly tombs of the Kinai region),\textsuperscript{15} edited by the archaeological program of Ritsumeikan University, makes a review of basic data centering on the Kinai region. It is called a self-generated attempt at revisiting the issue of the “necessity of the center” in the process of state formation. In settlement research, relations with tombs has become an important theme. Also, research is being conducted that reconstructs the ancient topography and analyzes trends in agricultural reclamation and settlements based on the methodology of “geoarchaeology,” cooperative work between the earth sciences and archaeology. For Haji\textsuperscript{16} ware used in cooking, observations on soot and charred adhesions and research on functional aspects through ethnographic and experimental approaches are drawing attention.

Research based on animal remains has been conducted that clarifies horse breeding in the period of state formation, and through carbon and nitrogen isotope analysis of the enamel of horse teeth recovered from sites of the Kofun through Medieval periods, fodder content has been reconstructed while referencing documentary materials, and the nature of agricultural practices was debated. Also, three-dimensional modeling of artifacts and features using digital technology has become an enormous trend. Aerial laser surveys of imperial tombs, and research on the construction plans of keyhole-shaped tombs using digital measurement technology and ground-penetrating radar, are making great advances.

In Ancient period research, investigations at ancient capitals which drew attention included flagpole features in the State Halls Compound of the Fujiwara palace;\textsuperscript{17} the Gokenmon\textsuperscript{18} sector west of the State Halls Compound of the Latter Naniwa palace;\textsuperscript{19} the eastern, western, and southern gutters of intra-ward streets in
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\textsuperscript{14} Ichinose Kazuo 一瀬和夫, Mozu, Furuichi kofungun: Higashi Ajia no naka no kyodai kofungun 百舌鳥・古市古墳群: 東アジアのなかの巨大古墳群 (Mozu, Furuichi tomb groups: Colossal tomb groups in the context of East Asia) (Doseisha, 2016).

\textsuperscript{15} Ritsumeikan Daigaku Bungakubu Kōkogaku/Bunkaisan Senkō 立命館大学文学部考古学・文化遺産専攻 (Archaeology/Cultural Heritage Major, College of Letters, Ritsumeikan University), ed., Kinai no shuchōfun 畿内の首長墳 (Chiefly tombs of the Kinai region) (Kyoto: Ritsumeikan Daigaku, 2017).
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West Second Ward on Sixth Street of the Nagaoka capital,20 the eastern gutter of Kōkamon21 avenue of the Heian capital22 and an accompanying tamped-earth wall that collapsed from an earthquake in the mid-ninth century or after.

As investigations of regional government offices, the following can be mentioned: the Tagajō23 site, the intersection of the ancient San’yōdō24 highway and the road leading to the Bingo provincial headquarters25 site, the Izumo provincial headquarters26 site, the Sanuki provincial headquarters,28 the Kōzuke provincial headquarters29 site, the Oyashikizoe30 site which is regarded as the headquarters of Aikō31 district in Sagami32 province, the headquarters of Tachibana33 district in Musashi34 province, the headquarters of Kōza35 district in Sagami province, the government storehouse sites of Sai36 and Tago37 districts in Kōzuke province, and the site of the headquarters of Niita38 district in Mutsu39 province. In addition, the Tsuji40 and Kinryūji Higashi41 sectors of the Bingo provincial headquarters site received a national Historic Site designation.

Investigations of temples include those at Tōdaiji,42 the Higashi Yuge (Yugedera43 temple) site in Osaka prefecture, and the Mirokuji45 temple site of the Mirokuji Government Offices Sites, a nationally designated Historic Site in Gifu prefecture. At the Aoya Yokogi46 site in Tottori prefecture, a wooden board drawn with an image of a group of court ladies of the seventh–eighth centuries was confirmed. Roof tiles recovered from the Ainoshima47 underwater site in Shingū,48 Fukuoka prefecture, were assessed as shipwrecked cargo bound from Kyushu for
the Heian capital.

Symposia were actively held in various locations concerning regional government offices, settlements, production sites, and artifacts. The recorded proceedings of a symposium regarding Akita castle were published as *Hoppō sekai to Akitajō* (The northern realm and Akita castle), and it is said that the singular nature of Japan’s northernmost fortification and its importance in the history of the northern region in the Ancient period have been clarified.

In trends for the Medieval period, for urban research, the Medieval Urban Research Society held its annual meeting on the theme of “Considering the ‘religious city’ of Nara” at the Nara National Museum, where a special exhibit on "Commemorating the 800th birthday of Ninshō" was underway. For research on jōkan (fortified residences), in a symposium on “Reconsidering the end of the Sengoku period in the Kantō region” held at the Saitama Prefectural Ranzan Historical Museum, the jōkan within the domain ruled by the Odawara Hōjō clan were taken up. Also, the annual meeting of the Shokuhō Period Castle Research Society was held on the theme of “Pillar base stone buildings atop stone walls of Shokuhō-type castles,” and there was also a seminar of castle researchers on “A reconsideration of serial dry moat formations.”

In research on stone monuments, with the theme of monuments from the Medieval to the Early Modern periods, Medieval Funerary and Burial Customs Research Meetings were held on the topics of itabi (stone stupas in the shape of stele) and isseki gorintō (Five Elements stone stupas made from single stones).
In research on *itabi*, an anthology edited by Chijiwa Itaru and Asano Haruki\(^59\) was a significant accomplishment. For research related to religion, a symposium on Mt. Fuji religious belief held by the Shizuoka Prefecture Archaeological Society took up sites related to Mt. Fuji worship from the Ancient through the Early Modern periods.\(^60\)

For research on pottery and high-fired ceramics, research meetings were held by the Japan Society for Medieval Ware on “The current state of trade ceramics research and pottery research,”\(^61\) and by the Japan Society for the Study of Oriental Trade Ceramics on “Perspectives of ceramics research: Production, circulation, consumption,”\(^62\) and a volume edited by Yaegashi Tadao and Takahashi Kazuki on Medieval samurai and pottery\(^63\) plus a compilation from the Archaeological Institute of Kamakura on archaeologically recovered ink-inscribed pottery\(^64\) received attention. As results of research related to transportation, the Saitama Archaeological Society held a symposium on the roads to Kamakura as documented through archaeology.\(^65\)

In Early Modern research, excavations conducted in conjunction with projects for preservation and management included those at the Osaka castle\(^66\) site, Fushimi castle\(^67\) in Kyoto, Sawayama castle in Hikone,\(^68\) and Hikone castle.\(^69\) A session at the Japanese Archaeological Association 2016 Autumn Meeting in Hirosaki was held
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on the topic of Early Modern castles in northern Japan. For castle towns, there was a symposium titled “On the towns of Kai province: Centering on the results of excavations at Yamura castle.” For Edo, the report for the Igakubu Fuzoku Byōin Nyūňōtō A Chiten site within the Tokyo University campus grounds was published. This was an investigation of a group of row houses, maintained by the Kaga domain for lower-ranking retainers, which was destroyed by fire in 1682. A special exhibit titled “Akamon—From Yōhime Shuden to Tokyo University” was held at the University Museum of Tokyo University. Also, it has become clear that human bones from the Kirishitan Yashiki site are the remains of Italian missionary Giovanni Battista Sidotti, and a symposium titled “Father Sidotti and the Kirishitan culture of Edo” was held, and a site report was published.

Among production sites, with regards to stone quarries there was a symposium held on those for stone material for the walls of Edo castle, recently designated as a Historic Site, and a special collection on the topic of “Early Modern, Modern stone quarries and the circulation of stone material” was featured in an issue of Isekigaku kenkyū (Journal of the Japanese Society for Cultural Heritage). In ceramics research, meetings were held by the Japanese Society of Oriental Ceramic

70 “Kita Nihon ni okeru kinsei jōkaku: Chikujō kara gendai made” 北日本における近世城郭: 藻城から現代まで (Early Modern castles in northern Japan: From construction to the present), research presentation session at the Nihon Kōkogaku Kyōkai 2016 Nendo Autumn Meeting (Japanese Archaeological Association 2016 Autumn Meeting) (Hirosaki, 15 October, 2016).
71 “Kai no kuni no machikata ni tsuite: Yamurajō hakkutsu seika o chūshin to shite” 甲斐国の近世町方について: 藻城発掘調査成果を中心として (On the towns of Kai province: Centering on the results of excavations at Yamura castle), symposium held by the Yamanashi-ken Maizō Bunkazai Sentā (Yamanashi Prefectural Center for Archaeological Research) (Kōfu, 10 October 2016).
72 Tōkyō Daigaku Maizō Bunkazai Chōsashitsu 東京大学埋蔵文化財調査室 (Archaeological Research Unit, The University of Tokyo) ed., Tōkyō Daigaku Hongō kōnai no iseki igakubu fuzoku byōin nyūnōtō A Chiten 東京大学本郷キャンパス内の遺跡医療学附属病院院内A地点 (Tokyo University Hongō campus site, University Hospital In-Patient Ward Location A) (Tōkyō Daigaku Maizō Bunkazai Chōsashitsu, 2016).
73 加賀 “Akamon—Yōhime Shuden kara Tōkyō Daigaku he” 赤門—溶姫御殿から東京大学へ (Akamon—From Yōhime Shuden to Tokyo University), special exhibit held at Tōkyō Daigaku Sōgo Kenkyū Hakubutsukan 東京大学総合研究博物館 (The University Museum, The University of Tokyo), 18 March–28 May 2017.
74 切支丹屋敷 (Tokyo prefecture)
75 “Shidotchi shinpu to Edo no Kirishitan bunka” シドッチ神父と江戸のキリシタン文化 (Father Sidotti and the Kirishitan culture of Edo), symposium held by the Bunkyo-ku Kyōiku inkai 文京区教育委員会 (Bunkyo Ward Board of Education) (Bunkyo, Tokyo, 13 November 2016).
77 “Shiaseki Edojō Ishigaki jaki Chōbaanto no jitsusō ni semaru: Kuni shitei o kinen shite” 史跡江戸城石垣石丁場跡の実像に迫る: 国指定を記念して (Closing in on the actual image of the Edo Castle Stone Walls Quarry Remains Historic Site: Commemorating the national designation), symposium held by the Odawara-shi Bunkazaika 小田原市文化財課 (Odawara City Cultural Properties Department) (Odawara, 5 November 2016).
Studies on “The founding and development of Japanese porcelain,” \(^{80}\) and by the Okinawa Archaeological Society on “The development of the ceramics industry in 16th–17th century Okinawa and its background.” \(^{81}\) Also, Volumes 3–5 of *Chūkinsei tōjiki no kōkogaku* (The archaeology of Medieval and Early Modern ceramics) \(^{82}\) were published, with many articles related to ceramics of the Early Modern period included.

As excavations of the Modern period, investigation of the site of the school, Zensei Gakuen, \(^{83}\) within the National Sanatorium Tama Zenshōen \(^{84}\) in Tokyo drew attention. In recent years, cases of investigations of Modern period sites are accumulating, and it appears we are approaching the stage of considering the framework of Modern period archaeology.

For the details of trends in research in overseas archaeology I will yield to the descriptions given for each region, \(^{85}\) but looking at the research trends taken up in the current volume for the Korean peninsula, China, and Central Europe (Germany, Austria, Switzerland), it appears that for the Korean peninsula from the Bronze Age and early Iron Age (Mumun pottery period) into the Proto-Three Kingdoms and Three Kingdoms periods, an accumulation of research can be seen regarding the history of relations and foreign exchange with Japan, whereas the situation of research on Chinese archaeology in Japan is undergoing rapid transformation, and research trends and frameworks for Central Europe would appear to be of great relevance for considering the future shape of archaeology in Japan.

Also, this fiscal year the 8th World Archaeological Congress (WAC-8) was held at Doshisha University in Kyoto, but as so-called “internationalization” does not stop with merely disseminating information about Japanese archaeology, should we not also be considering “Japan in the context of the world,” namely directions for vantage points on comparative archaeology?

In the above manner, with regard to the overall trends of Japanese archaeological research for the fiscal 2016 year, I have mentioned the outlines of developments for each period in turn. In recent years Japanese archaeology appears to be in the midst of large currents for the diversification of its methodology


\(^{83}\) 全生学園

\(^{84}\) Kokuritsu Ryōyōjo Tama Zenshōen 東京都の国立療養所多磨全生園 [a sanatorium for leprosy or ex-leprosy patients, founded 1909]

\(^{85}\) [Translator’s note: The reference is to the sections on overseas research trends for the Korean peninsula, China, and Central Europe, appearing on pp. 66–87 of *Nihon kōkogaku nenpō*, the same volume containing this introductory overview.]
and expansion of its subject matter. In addition to the conventional analytic methods of archaeology those of the natural sciences are being actively introduced, leading to epoch-making advances beginning with chronological measurements and ancient environmental and climatic reconstructions. Also, for archaeological interpretation as well, movements are seen to incorporate the results and cognitive frameworks of related fields such as cultural anthropology and history. In addition to the expansion of subject matter in accompaniment with the diversification of methodology, investigations and research on topics which conventional archaeology rarely handled, such as Modern period sites, have come to be conducted. With regard to this methodological diversification and thematic expansion, how to respond in terms of research and education are important issues for the future.

Also, as mentioned at the beginning, our society which envelops archaeology is facing a period of great change, in the midst of which there are tremendous problems for Japanese archaeology such as the drastic reductions in numbers of researchers who support regional studies, critical shortages of personnel in regional public organizations, and issues facing archaeology in universities regarding the educational environment and the training of successors.

At the same time, in its long academic history, on top of its steady investigations and research in every region, Japanese archaeology has tread a consistent path of taking a view of the archipelago as a whole. The issue of how to relate the results of archaeological research in every region with the archaeology of the entire archipelago, as stated above, will likely link up with the problem of how to train future practitioners.